|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| SECTION 1 – Property Information | | | | | | | | |
| Project Name: | | | |  | | | | |
| Property Name (or Owner’s Name): | | | | |  | | | |
| County: |  | | | | | | | |
| Total Acres: | |  | | | | Forested Acres: |  | |
| This form is intended to provide pertinent information in conjunction with a Forest Legacy program (FLP) application that will be utilized in the Virginia state ranking process that precedes consideration of proposed projects for FLP grant funding at the national level. The data provided herein provides the conservation values that the property possesses and is derived from a variety of governmental or private data sources. One property proposal should be provided for each ownership within an FLP project proposal.  The Project Advocate should provide herein specific numbers of occurrences of various conservation resources found on the property, as determined from the following databases of resource information and other self-derived sources. In addition, the Project Advocate should describe the property attributes below by providing a brief narrative regarding the resources found on the property.  If a report can be generated from the database utilized to support the onsite resources listed or discussed below, it should be attached to the back of this proposal. | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| SECTION 2 – Resource Databases and Data Sources | | | | | | | | |
| DCR – Virginia Outdoors Plan and Outdoors Plan Mapper  <https://www.dcr.virginia.gov/recreational-planning/vopmapper>  DCR – Virginia Natural Heritage Data Explorer (NHDE)  <https://vanhde.org/>  DWR – Virginia Fish and Wildlife Information Service (VaFWIS)  [https:/services.dwr.virginia.gov/fwis/](https://services.dwr.virginia.gov/fwis/)  DWR – Wildlife Action Plan:  <http://dwr.virginia.gov/wildlife/wildlife-action-plan/>  Virginia Department of Historic Resources (DHR) – Virginia Cultural Resource Information (V-CRIS) MapViewer  <https://vcris.dhr.virginia.gov/vcris/Mapviewer/>  Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) – Scenic Byways  <http://www.vdot.virginia.gov/travel-traffic/travelers/virginia-byways/#PrintableMap3>  National Park Service (NPS) – Scenic Trails  <https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationaltrailssystem/maps.htm>  The Nature Conservancy (TNC) – Resilient Land Mapping Tool  <https://www.maps.tnc.org/resilientland/>  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) – Web Soil Survey  <https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/>  Virginia Social Vulnerability Viewer  <https://cmap2.vims.edu/SocialVulnerability/SocioVul_SS.html> | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| SECTION 3 – Property Attributes | | | | | | | | |
| Note: These attributes are based on the Forest Legacy Core Criteria, scoring each project based on alignment with the Importance, Threatened and Strategic criteria ([USDA Forest Service Project Scoring Guidance Reference Document](chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https:/www.fs.usda.gov/sites/default/files/2023-06/FY24%20Project%20Scoring%20Guidance%20-%20IRA.pdf)). | | | | | | | |
| Importance | | | | | | | | |
| Does the property contain any of the following attributes that reflect its environmental, social and economic public benefits gained now and into the future?  Please align responses with the national application requirements that allow for only 20 ‘Importance’ attributes to be submitted, each limited to 300 characters. Responses do no need to be submitted for every category and some categories may have more attributes than others depending on the property’s characteristics. | | | | | | | |
| * Economic benefits from timber productivity and potential forest productivity – sustainable forest management, contribution to resource-based community or regional economy or sustained productive forest (Possible data sources: NHDE forest economics model and Forest Conservation Value (FCV) or ConserveVirginia found within NHDE) | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 1. | | |  | | | | |
| 2. | | |  | | | | |
| 3. | | |  | | | | |
| * Economic benefits from non-timber products, recreation/tourism activities or lease agreements | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | |  | | | | |
| 5. | | |  | | | | |
| 6. | | |  | | | | |
| * Threatened or endangered species habitat that is documented for plants, animals or designated habitat – federal designation weighted higher than state-only designation (Possible data sources: VaFWIS & NHDE) | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 7. | | |  | | | | |
| 8. | | |  | | | | |
| 9. | | |  | | | | |
| * Fish, wildlife, plants and unique forest communities – documented by a formal assessment or wildlife conservation plan or strategy (Possible data sources: VaFWIS & NHDE) | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 10. | | |  | | | | |
| 11. | | |  | | | | |
| 12. | | |  | | | | |
| * Water supply, aquatic habitat and watershed protection – direct relationship with important riparian areas, wetlands, shorelines or aquatic resources and habitats (Possible data source: watershed integrity model within NHDE) | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 13. | | |  | | | | |
| 14. | | |  | | | | |
| 15. | | |  | | | | |
| * Public access maintained or established for recreation – restrictions on specific use and location of activities may  be allowed | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 16. | | |  | | | | |
| 17. | | |  | | | | |
| 18. | | |  | | | | |
| * Scenic values – located within viewshed of a government designated scenic feature or area (Possible data sources: NHDE Scenic Rivers layer, VDOT scenic byways and NPS scenic trails) | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 19. | | |  | | | | |
| 20. | | |  | | | | |
| 21. | | |  | | | | |
| * Historic/Cultural/Tribal significance that are documented by a government, Tribal or a non-governmental organization (Possible data sources: DHR-V-CRIS MapViewer and the Cultural Asset model within the NHDE) | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 22. | | |  | | | | |
| 23. | | |  | | | | |
| 24. | | |  | | | | |
| * Carbon sequestration/climate resilience, adaptation to climate change (Guidance materials: Considering Forest & Grassland Carbon in Land Management – <https://www.fs.usda.gov/reasearch/publications/qtr/qtr_wo95.pdf> ) (Possible data source: Resilient Land Mapping Tool from TNC) | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 25. | | |  | | | | |
| 26. | | |  | | | | |
| 27. | | |  | | | | |
| Threatened | | | | | | | | |
| Does the property contain any of the following attributes that reflect the degree it is threatened by conversion to non-forest uses by development, such as residential or industrial or other factors that would remove or fragment existing forest cover, such as agriculture or wind/solar?  Please align responses with the national application requirements that allow for only 10 ‘Threatened’ attributes to be submitted, each limited to 300 characters. Responses do no need to be submitted for every category and some categories may have more attributes than others depending on the property’s characteristics. | | | | | | | |
| * Lack of protection – temporary or permanent (Possible data sources: Vulnerability Model and Conserved Lands layer within the NHDE) | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 1. | | |  | | | | |
| 2. | | |  | | | | |
| 3. | | |  | | | | |
| * Land and landowner circumstances, such as property held in estate, age of landowner, heirs of property interest in stewardship uncertain, property for sale, planned subdivision of the property | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | |  | | | | |
| 5. | | |  | | | | |
| 6. | | |  | | | | |
| * Adjacent land use characteristics, such as rate of development, growth or conversion | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 7. | | |  | | | | |
| 8. | | |  | | | | |
| 9. | | |  | | | | |
| * Ability to develop – physical attributes that would facilitate conversion to non-forest uses | | | | | | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | | | | | | |
| 10. | | |  | | | | |
| 11. | | |  | | | | |
| 12. | | |  | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Strategic (make sure project map supports this category) | | | |
| Does the property contain any of the following attributes that reflect the project’s relevance or relationship to broader landscape scale conservation efforts?  Please align responses with the national application requirements that allow for only 10 ‘Strategic’ attributes to be submitted, each limited to 400 characters. Responses do no need to be submitted for every category and some categories may have more attributes than others depending on the property’s characteristics. | | |
| * Conservation initiative, strategy, or plan – describe contribution considering scale, location and project attributes. (Possible data sources: DGIF Wildlife Action Plan, Virginia Outdoors Plan, County Comprehensive plan, other local plans) | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | |
| 1. | |  |
| 2. | |  |
| 3. | |  |
| * Complement protected lands – strategically linked to enhance already protected past FLP projects, federal, state or non-governmental lands (Possible data sources: Vulnerability Model and Conserved Lands layer within the NHDE) | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | |
| 4. | |  |
| 5. | |  |
| 6. | |  |
| * Benefits to disadvantaged communities and/or address environmental justice (Guidance materials: Interim Guidance for Justice40 – federal resource released July 2023 – <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/M-21-28.pdf>) (Possible data source: Climate & Economic Justice Screening Tool – <https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/en/#3/33.47/-97.5>) | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | |
| 7. | |  |
| 8. | |  |
| 9. | |  |
| * Other landscape scale goals and public benefits – strategic contributions to the advancement of larger scale conservation goals and public benefits | | |
| * Describe each related item individually: | | |
| 10. | |  |
| 11. | |  |
| 12. | |  |
|  | | |
| SECTION 4 –Project Narrative Overview | | |
| A single project narrative is required to be submitted to represent the larger project proposal if more than one property/tract will be involved. The narrative must touch on the contributions and conservation values of each individual property/tract. The goal should be a brief summary of the relationship between the project proposal with the Forest Legacy Core Criteria (national application process allows the narrative to contain up to a 1250 character limit). | | |
|  |  | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | | |
| SECTION 5 – Supporting Parties | | |
| * Provide a list of the anticipated parties that will provide or have already provided written letters of support for the project proposal; all strategic links to protected federal, state or non-governmental lands mentioned in the project proposal should provide written letters of support. | | |
| Supporting Party | Connection to Project | Anticipated Date to Obtain | |
|  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |